



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/791,763

03/04/2004

Wolfgang Dettmann

0928.0036C

4420

27896

7590

09/12/2006

EDELL, SHAPIRO & FINNAN, LLC  
1901 RESEARCH BOULEVARD  
SUITE 400  
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850

EXAMINER

RUGGLES, JOHN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1756

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/791,763

**Applicant(s)**

DETTMANN ET AL.

**Examiner**

John Ruggles

**Art Unit**

1756

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/2/05, 11/12/04, 6/17/04, 6/1/04, & 3/4/04.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Election/Restrictions*

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8 and 13-15, drawn to a set of masks (product), classified in class 430, subclass 5.
- II. Claims 9-12, drawn to a method of producing (designing) data patterns for a set of masks by computer simulation (without any actual manufacturing steps to make the set of masks, process of making), classified in class 716, subclass 19.

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product set of masks as claimed in Group I can be made by another and materially different process than the computer simulation method (without any actual manufacturing steps to make the set of masks) of Group II, such as a process of designing patterns for the set of masks in Group I that does not involve any computer simulation as required by Group II, but does include actual manufacturing steps of selective coating and/or etching that would be required to make the set of masks claimed in Group I.

This application further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (A) a product set of masks in which either (1) the first mask has a semitransparent first layer and the second mask has a semitransparent second layer, (2) a first mask has a nontransparent (light blocking or opaque) first layer and a second mask has a

Art Unit: 1756

nontransparent (light blocking or opaque) second layer, (3) a first mask has a semitransparent first layer and a second mask has a nontransparent (light blocking or opaque) second layer, or (4) a first mask has a nontransparent (light blocking or opaque) first layer and a second mask has a semitransparent second layer, and in which either (a) the first mask is a chromeless phase (shift) mask (PSM) and the second mask is a trimming mask (e.g., in claims 2-3, etc.), (b) the first mask is a trimming mask and the second mask is an alternating PSM (e.g., in claim 4, etc.), or (c) the first mask is an alternating PSM and the second mask is a trimming mask (e.g., in claims 13-14, etc.), or (d) the first mask is a trimming mask and the second mask is a chromeless PSM (e.g., in claim 15, etc.); or (B) a method of designing data patterns for a set of masks by computer simulation (without any actual manufacturing steps to make the set of masks) in which the first mask design is either for (5) a chromeless PSM, (6) an alternating PSM, (7) a halftone PSM, (8) a tritone PSM, or (9) a chrome mask that is either (e) a chrome PSM or (f) a chrome binary mask (without any PS feature or region, e.g., as alternatively recited in claim 12, etc.).

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed specie for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic to the sets of masks species and claim 9 is generic to the methods of designing data patterns for a set of masks by computer simulation (without any actual manufacturing steps to make the set of masks) species.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the Group (selected from either Group I (further divided into species under category (A)) or Group II (further divided into species under category (B)) above, *step i*) and the specie (selected from the species numbered (1)-(9) above, *step ii*, and within the elected numbered specie, a

Art Unit: 1756

single lettered specie selected from the species lettered (a)-(f) above, if applicable, *step iii*) that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, Applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected specie. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, Applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and also because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even if the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicants are also reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1756

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Ruggles whose telephone number is 571-272-1390. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jsr

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Rosasco', with a stylized initial 'A' or 'R' at the beginning.

**S. ROSASCO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 1500**